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Subsetting Large-Scale Data

- Data subsetting is needed for efficient scientific large-scale visualization and analysis
  - Post-processing is still needed for some visualization and analysis scenarios (global time analysis, exploratory visualization, etc.)
  - Slow I/O and network bandwidth
  - Memory footprint decreasing per core at exascale
  - New data generated during analysis process increases the memory footprint
Data Subsetting in ParaView

- Load an entire data set (or spatial subset)
  - Apply a series filters and/or the data selection interface
  - Multiple filters keep multiple data copies in memory
  - New grids may be created increasing memory and time

- Rectilinear Grid readers: Slow (can be fast for spatial)
  - Extract Subset/Slice/Clip Filter: Fast
  - Threshold Filter: Very Slow (new unstructured grid)

- Unstructured Grid readers: Slow
  - Extract Subset/Slice/Clip Filter: Medium
  - Threshold Filter: Slow (new unstructured grid)
A Faster Solution

- Subset at the I/O level
  - Reduced I/O times and memory footprint
  - User specifies the subset in one query for both space and value ranges

- SQL queries in ParaView reader modules
  - Standard: A flexible language for specifying subsets
  - Efficiency: Smaller data load from disk to memory
  - Functionality: One query is equal to multiple filters
Broader Research Context

- Automatic Data Virtualization Research at The Ohio State University
- Virtual Relational/XML view on low-level scientific data
  - A light-weight database management solution
  - Support for flat-files and HDF5 in past work
  - No need to load data in a specific database – the data are able to stay as-is
ParaView Reader and SQL Queries

The process involves:
1. **User Input Query**
2. **Parse Query**
3. **Retrieve Data**

The flowchart illustrates:
- **SQL Query Input**
- **SQL Parser**
- **Metadata Parser**
- **Query Request Generator**
- **Query Analysis**
- **Index Generation/Retrieving Functions**
- **Data Reader**
- **UnstructuredGrid Generator**

The flow leads to the **ParaView Pipeline** and the **Rest of the VTK Pipeline**.
Spatial queries are relatively easy
- NetCDF and HDF5 support spatial queries in their API
- Unstructured data is harder (but feasible)

Value queries harder: Bitmap Indexing!
- One truth bit for each data element and value range (1 if datum is in value range, 0 if not) N x B bit matrix
  - Value bin cardinality B (range quantization) is a tradeoff for indexing time and space – and there are bitmap compression techniques (WAH, multi-hashing, etc.)
- Fast bitwise operations on bitmap index determine the data point selection sets from queries
Experimental Test Setup

- POP (Parallel Ocean Program) NetCDF data files
  - 3600x2400x42 structured grid
  - 1.4 GB per variable – 4 variables (5.6 GB)

- SQL + NetCDF API + Bitmap indexing vs. reader modules + multiple VTK filters (single threaded)
  - Type 1: Spatial queries (skipped – it’s as fast as the NetCDF API can service a spatial query)
  - Type 2: Value queries (100 random queries)
  - Type 3: Space + Value queries (100 random queries)
Experiment: Value Only Queries

- Spatial query ignored in this test
  - Two-level bitmap indexing
  - One-level bitmap indexing
  - Read whole data + multiple VTK threshold filters

- 2-level indexing
  - Coarse grain index for values for a first pass (fewer bins), followed by a finer grain indexing per bin in a second pass
  - Throws out a large number of candidates on first pass — more efficient than 1-level indexing in many cases
m1 – 2-level indexing and read only query data
m2 – 1-level indexing and read only query data
m3 – read all data and use multiple thresholds
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Experiment: Space + Value Queries

- Subsetting on IDs (space) combined with values
  - 2-level indexing + NetCDF API
  - 1-level indexing + NetCDF API
  - VTK NetCDF reader + subset filter + threshold filter
    - Reader is smart that it only reads requested spatial subsets

- Dominant factor for indexing is still in values
  - SELECT temp FROM DATASET WHERE t_lat=0.5 AND t_lon = 0.5 AND temp<100;
  - SELECT temp FROM DATASET WHERE temp=0 AND t_lat>0;
% of values vs. % of IDs (space) in query result
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Remove Unstructured Grid Generation

- The first experiment showed that ParaView/VTK spends a lot of time generating new grids
  - This could be said for many filters – VTK tends to generate new grids too often, wasting time and space
- New filtering idea (seems obvious but it was “Aha!”)
  - Instead of generating new grids after filtering, mark “unqualified” data values as NaN
  - Data stay on original grid
  - No extra grid generation (saves time and space)
Indexing vs. new Threshold filter (set unqualified values to NaN)
Conclusion

- Use SQL queries to support flexible data subsetting
  - Translate query into operations
  - Use NetCDF/HDF5 API to support spatial subset
  - Use bitmap indexing to support value subsets
  - No need to move data into a database
- Reduced memory and time for query
  - Multi-level indexing can drastically improve time
  - Skipping extra grid generation steps in VTK can improve time and memory usage as well
Questions? Thanks for listening!
Bitmap Compression
(Bitmaps can be large)

- **WAH compression**
  - Compress the bit vectors based on continuous 0s or 1s
  - Can’t subset the by the IDs (spatial dimensions) before the value indexing operation (assuming we don’t add x, y, z to the bitmap index)

- **Multi-Hash compression**
  - Use multiple hash functions to set 1s for hash(id, value) for each 1 in a bit vector
  - Supports subsetting over both IDs (space) and values
  - Hash clashes for (id, value) to same array position (false positives)